	Case 5.07-cv-03012-PV1 Document 63	Filed 12/16/2007 Page 1 01 3					
1 2 3 4 5 6	Kenneth B. Wilson, Calif. Bar No. 130009 KWilson@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: 415.344.7000 Facsimile: 415.344.7050 Attorneys for Defendant SIMMONS BEDDING COMPANY and Defendant and Counterclaimant DREAN	ИWELL, LTD.					
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
11							
12	ZINUS, INC. a California Corporation,	Case No. 07-CV-03012-PVT					
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF KENNETH B. WILSON IN					
14	V.	SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER ENLARGING BRIEFING					
15 16	SIMMONS BEDDING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, and DREAMWELL, LTD., a limited liability company of Nevada,	SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF ZINUS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-					
17	Defendants.	INFRINGEMENT					
18		Date: January 22, 2008 Time: 10:00 a.m.					
19		Location: Courtroom 5 Before: The Honorable Patricia V. Trumbull					
20							
21							
22	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS						
23							
24	I, Kenneth B. Wilson, declare, in accordance with Civil Local Rule 6-2, as follows:						
25	1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel of record for						
26	defendant Simmons Bedding Company ("Simmons") and defendant and counterclaimant						
27	Dreamwell, Ltd. ("Dreamwell"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this						
28	Declaration and can testify competently to those facts.						
	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF STIP.						

1

6

7

9

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27 28

- 2. I am the only attorney at Perkins Coie that has performed any substantive work on the above-captioned litigation. I prepared Dreamwell's Opposition to plaintiff Zinus, Inc.'s ("Zinus") original Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement by myself, and am the only lawyer with enough familiarity with the issues in the case to prepare responses to any substantive motions in this matter.
- 3. On December 17, 2007, Zinus filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (the "Motion for Reconsideration"), and set the motion for hearing on January 22, 2008. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, and given the court closures for the holiday, the Opposition to Zinus' Motion for Reconsideration is currently due on December 28, 2007.
- 4. I would not have the time or opportunity to prepare an Opposition to Zinus' Motion for Reconsideration on the current briefing schedule. Specifically, I will be out of the office on vacation from December 19 through January 1, and will be out of the country and without computer network access on a long-planned family vacation from December 20 through December 28.
- 5. I spoke to counsel for Zinus about continuing the hearing date, but Zinus is anxious to have its Motion for Reconsideration resolved at the earliest opportunity and does not wish to extend the hearing date for the motion. However, subject to the Court's approval, Zinus was willing to modify the briefing schedule on Zinus' Motion for Reconsideration as follows: Dreamwell's Opposition to the motion would be filed on or before Tuesday, January 8, 2008, and Zinus' Reply in support of the motion would be filed on or before Tuesday, January 15, 2008. The hearing on the Motion for Reconsideration would remain scheduled for Tuesday, January 22, 2008. Accordingly, the parties have herewith submitted a Stipulation reflecting that agreement.
- 6. On July 11, 2007, the Court issued an Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Complaint, which extended the time for Defendants to respond to Zinus' original Complaint by roughly three weeks. This afternoon the parties also filed a Stipulation Extending Time to Respond to Second Amended Complaint, which accommodates the same scheduling issues identified in the present Stipulation. I do not believe

-2-

	Case s	5:07-c	v-03012-PVT	Document 65	Filed 12/18/2007	Page 3 of 3
1	there l	nave h	een anv other nre	evious time modifie	pations in the case eith	er by stipulation or Court
1		iave ov	cen any other pre	evious time mount	cations in the ease, enti-	or by stipulation of Court
2	order.	7	The generated	time medification	ah ay lid mat hayya amyy a	ffoot on the gold dule for the
3		7.	The requested	time modification	should not have any e	ffect on the schedule for the
4	case.	T 1	1 1 1	C : 1	4 1 C4 TT 4 1	
5	C				the laws of the United	States of America that the
6	forego		true and correct.		0 <b>-</b>	
7		Exec	uted this 18th da	y of December, 20	07 at San Francisco, C	alıfornıa.
8						
9					/s/ Kenneth B.	
0					Kenneth B.	Wilson
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	DECLA	ARATIC	ON IN SUPPORT O	OF STIP.		

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF STIP RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE 07-CV-03012-PVT